IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

And

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION No.8648 of 2000

Between:

1. Bommisetti Satyanarayana, S/o. Lakshminarasihim,
R/o. Nellore.

2. P. Venugopal, S/o. Raghavaiah,
405 Rukmini Enclave, Dharam Karani Road,
Ameerpet, Hyderabad.

3. Talluri Anand Kumar, S/o. Narasimham,
H.No.6/10,Prakash Nagar, Nellore-2.

..... PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 Union of India , Rep. by its Secretary ,
Ministry of Food & Consumer Affairs, New Delhi.

2 Garikipati Ramanaiah, S/o. Venkaiah,

Boggule Veedhi, Santhapet,
Nellore.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ or direction, more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring Sec 27 of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986, as arbitrary, unreasonable and violative of Art.14 & 19(1)(g) of the Constitution of India and consequently declare the proceedings in E.P.No.64/96 in O.P.No.203/95 on the file of the District Form, Nellore, as void, abinitio, null and void and nonest in the eye of law.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.E.V.S.S.ACHARYULU

Counsel for Respondent No.1: MR.A. RAJASHEKAR REDDY (SC FOR CG)

Counsel for Respondent No.2: None appeared.

Counsel for Respondent No.2:

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER: (Per Hon'ble Sri Justice B. Sudershan Reddy)

The subject matter that arises for consideration in this Writ Petition is squarely

covered by the decision rendered by a Full Bench of this Court in ***C.V. Ratnam v. Union of India & Others***.

Following the decision of the Full Bench, this writ petition is required to be dismissed and the same shall accordingly stand dismissed without any order as to costs.

However, the petitioner shall have the advantage of the observations made in the judgment and the remedy to prefer appeal against the decision of the District Forum is left open.

_____

B. SUDERSHAN REDDY, J

Date:01-11-2004.

_____

K.C. BHANU, J

PV

To

1 The Secretary, Union of India,

Ministry of Food & Consumer Affairs, New Delhi.

2. The President, District Consumer Forum, Nellore.

3. Two C.D. copies.